



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/276,021	03/25/1999	KHALID YOUSSEFF	024/1 1550	
75	90 06/28/2005		EXAM	INER
Gregory D Caldwell			HAROLD, JEFFEREY F	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2646	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/276,021	YOUSSEFF, KHALID				
Office Action Sumi	nary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jefferey F. Harold	2646				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe	OMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 of this communication. Than thirty (30) days, a reply will maximum statutory period will riod for reply will, by statute, cree months after the mailing d	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 24 Ma	rch 2005.	•				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pendir	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allow							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejecte	· / 						
7) Claim(s) is/are object							
8) Claim(s) are subject	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2646

4.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yatrou et al. (United States Patent 5,343,522), hereinafter referenced as Yatrou.

Regarding claim 1, Yatrou discloses adaptive sparse echo canceller for active tap selection. In addition, Yatrou discloses an apparatus for canceling echoes over a communications channel, said apparatus comprising: means for implementing, at the start of a communications session over a communications line, a plurality of echo cancellers to cancel echo on said communications line, said echo cancellers each operating to cancel echoes that arrive during a predetermined bandwidth of time, said predetermined band-widths of time being non-overlapping; means for training each of said plurality of echo cancellers to produce a cancellation signal that cancels echoes arriving during the predetermined bandwidth of time associated with said echo canceller; and means for eliminating, after a predetermined training period, all echo cancellers that produce a cancellation signal below a predetermined threshold, as disclosed at column 3, line 12 through column 4, line 57 and exhibited in figures 3 and

Art Unit: 264

Regarding **claim 2**, Yatrou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), in addition, Yatrou discloses wherein said bandwidths of time are equal in width to each other, as disclosed at column 3, lines 38-62 and exhibited in figure 4.

Regarding **claims 5, 6, 8 and 9**, Yatrou discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claims 1 and 2). In addition, claims 5, 6, 8 and 9 are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection claims 1 and 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3, 4, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatrou in view of well know prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

Regarding **claim 3**, Yatrou disclose everything claimed, as applied above, (see claim 2), however, Yatrou fails to disclose bandwidths 16 milliseconds apart. However, the examiner takes official notice of the fact that it was well know in the art to provide bandwidths 16 milliseconds apart.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yatrou by specifically providing bandwidths of various lengths including 16 milliseconds, for the purpose of determining the echo path length.

Art Unit: 264€

Regarding **claim 4**, Yatrou disclose everything claimed, as applied above, (see claim 1), however, Yatrou fails to disclose a graphical user interface to allow altering of the predetermined threshold. However, the examiner takes official notice of the fact that it was well know in the art to provide a graphical user interface to allow altering of the predetermined threshold.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yatrou by specifically providing a graphical user interface to allow altering of the predetermined threshold, for the purpose of initial setup and programming of the DSP.

Regarding claims 7 and 10, Yatrou disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8), in addition claims 7 and 10 are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claims 3 and 4.

Response to Arguments

3. The above cited rejection is a repeat of the rejection provided in the office action dated June 21, 2004. Thus this is a non-final rejection and the response to the Applicant's arguments filed November 26, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, applicant is correct in that Yatrou discloses an echo canceller. However, the echo canceller is divided into x regions which represents several echo cancellers. Each one of the regions are non-contiguous and these several cancellers operate to cancel echo on the communication channel. Further, the regions represent different time periods which reads on the claimed "bandwith of time".

Art Unit: 2644

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F. Harold whose telephone number is 571-272-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh H. Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jefferey F Harold

Examiner Art Unit 2644

·) / 14

June 21, 2005